GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

Chandigarh Administration Gazette

Published by Authority

NO. 004] CHANDIGARH, FRIDAY, JANUARY 09, 2026 (PAUSA 19, 1947 SAKA)

CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION
HOME DEPARTMENT
(INDUSTRIES BRANCH)

Notification

The 8th January, 2026

No. 4/1/35-KVIB(I&T)-2026/258.—In partial modification of this Department's earlier Notification No. 4/1/35-KVIB(I&T)-2025/17477 dated 06.11.2025, the name of Dr. Siddharth Shankar Sharma, H. No. 1205 Urban Estate, Phase - I, Jalandhar, Punjab (Non -Official Member), is hereby corrected and shall be read as Dr. Sidharath Shankar Sharma, H. No. 1205, Urban Estate, Phase - I, Jalandhar, Punjab.

Chandigarh, dated
the 18.12.2025

NISHANT KUMAR YADAV, IAS
Secretary Industries,
Chandigarh Administration.

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by SURESH BALA
Date: 2026.01.09 15:47:14 IST
Reason: Published
Location:

This is Digitally Signed Gazette. To verify, visit : https://egazette.chd.gov.in

CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION
HOME DEPARTMENT
(INDUSTRIES BRANCH)

Notification

The 8th January, 2026

No. 4/1/35-KVIB(I&T)-2026/262.—In continuation of Home Department (Industry Branch), Chandigarh Administration's Notification No. 4/1/35-KVIB(I&T)-2025/17477 dated 06.11.2025, the Administrator, Union Territory, Chandigarh is pleased to include Shri Leela Dhar, Resident of House No. 1243, Phase-2, Ram Darbar, Chandigarh in the U.T. Khadi and Village Industries Board, Chandigarh as Non-Official Member.

Chandigarh, dated
the 18.12.2025

NISHANT KUMAR YADAV, IAS
Secretary Industries,
Chandigarh Administration.

This is Digitally Signed Gazette. To verify, visit :
https://egazette.chd.gov.in

CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION
LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Notification

The 6th January, 2026

No. 590298-HII(2)-2025/150.—In exercise of the Powers conferred by sub-section (i) of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947) read with Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment's Notification No. S-11025/21/2003-IR(PL) dated 28.7.2004, the undersigned hereby publish the following award bearing reference No. **26/2020 dated 29.10.2025** delivered by the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, UT Chandigarh between:

SURAJ S/O SH. MUNA LAL, AGED 21 YEAR R/O # 325, SASTARI NAGAR, MANIMAJRA, CHANDIGARH. (WORKMAN)

AND

1. M/S TRULY PEST SOLUTIONS PVT. LTD., THROUGH ITS OCCUPIER AND MANAGER, SCO NO. 74, 3RD FLOOR, KESO RAM COMPLEX, VILLAGE BURAIL, SECTOR 45, CHANDIGARH.

2. M/S TRULY PEST SOLUTION PVT. LTD., THROUGH ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR / OCCUPIER AND MANAGER, HEAD OFFICE # 915, HEMKUNT TOWER, 98 NEHRU PLACE, NEW DELHI 110019.

3. M/S PARK VIEW HOTEL, SECTOR 24-B, CHANDIGARH THROUGH ITS PROPRIETOR / OCCUPIER / MANAGER.

4. CHANDIGARH INDUSTRIAL TOURISM AND DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION (CITCO), SCO NO. 121-122, SECTOR 17E, CHANDIGARH THROUGH ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR. (MANAGEMENT)

AWARD

1. Workman Suraj has presented Industrial Dispute in hand under Section 2A(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 *(here-in-after in short called 'ID Act').*

2. Briefly stated, the workman was appointed by the management through its Managing Director / Occupier and Manager *(here-in-after referred as "respondent-management No.1 & 2)* w.e.f. 01.01.2018 as Pest Management Technician but the appointment letter was issued on 31.03.2018. The respondent-management No. 1 & 2 are the contractors of respondent-management No.3 (principal employer) under The Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Act, 1970. The workman was deputed with respondent-management No.3. He had worked continuously without any break or interruption in service up to 25.02.2019 and lastly,he was getting ` 8,500/- per month as wages. The workman successfully performed his duties as per instructions, wish & will of the respondent-management. It is further stated that on 26.0.2019, the services of the workman were terminated without giving any prior notice and when he approached the concerned authorities of the respondent, the authorities used foul language without giving any proper reason for termination. He is totally unemployed and has been terminated in an arbitrarily manner. The respondent-management has not issued any memo, charge sheet or conducted any inquiry before his termination. The respondent-management has not offered notice pay and paid compensation to the workman at the time of refusal of work, which is a pre-condition of Section 25F of the ID Act. The respondent-management have violated all the provisions of The Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Act, 1970. His job was of permanent nature and he had continuously

This is Digitally Signed Gazette. To verify, visit :
https://egazette.chd.gov.in

worked for more than 240 days. The respondent-management issued appointment letter on 31.03.2018 and thereafter issued identity card. Further, the respondents-management had not issued attendance card, wages slip, leave card etc. to the workman. The respondent-management kept two types of records one, original primary record / factual position and another, official record. The workman has availed only sanctioned leave. He was never issued leave card during his service. The workman is entitled for 14 days sick leaves with wages, 7 days causal leaves, 15 days earned leave with wages annually leaves with wages under the provision of "The Punjab Industrial Establishment National and Festival Holidays and Casual and Sick Leaves Act 1965".It is submitted that termination order may be declared as illegal and the workman may be reinstated with continuity of service, full back wages and all other applicable consequential benefits.

3. The respondent-management No.1 & 2 filed written reply taking preliminary objection on the ground of territorial jurisdiction and the workman having himself left the job without any intimation and further he failed to join the duty despite various requests. It is denied his last drawn wages were ` 8,500/- per month and the workman had started work w.e.f. 01.01.2018, as averred. It is admitted that his appointment was made to provide services in the office and Parkview Hotel, Sector 24, Chandigarh. It is denied that his services were terminated on 26.09.2019 without proper notice. Remaining averments of the claim statement were also denied and prayer was also made for dismissal of the claim statement.

4. The respondent-management No.3 was deleted from the array of parties vide order dated 17.12.2024.

5. The respondent-management No.4i.e. CITCO also filed written statement taking preliminary objection qua maintainability of claim statement. It is submitted that no relationship of employer-employee ever existed between the answering respondent and the workman. The answering respondent is in hotel industry and engages the contractors for the smoothly running of its business. Therefore, the answering respondent-management allotted the work of pest control to M/s Truly Pest Solution Pvt. Ltd. After the allotment of the work, the respondent-management No.2 deputed the workman as per terms & conditions of the agreement between the answering respondent-management and respondent-management No. 2. The entire payment of wages was made to theworkman as per agreement / contract. Since no appointment letter was issued by the answering respondent, so question of termination of his services never arose. It is submitted that respondent No.2 i.e. M/s Truly Pest Solution Services Pvt. Ltd. is an independent organisation, who employed and deputed the workman as per settled terms of the agreement. Remaining averments were also denied and a prayer was also made for dismissal of the application.

6. The workman filed rejoinder to the written statement filed by respondent-management No.1 & 2 wherein he reiterated the contents of the claim statement and opposed the averments made by the management in its written statement.

7. From the pleadings of the parties, following issues were framed :-

1. Whether the services of the workman were terminated illegally by the management, if so, to what effect and to what relief he is entitled to, if any ?OPW

2. Whether this Court has no territorial jurisdiction ? OPM

3. Relief.

8. Thereafter, both the parties were called upon to lead their respective evidence.

9. In evidence of the workman, workman himself appeared as AW1 and tendered his duly sworn-in affidavit Exhibit 'AW1/A'. He also tendered in evidence original appointment letter dated 31.03.2018 **(Exhibit 'W1').** Thereafter Learned Representative for the workman closed the evidence of the workman in affirmative.

10. None appeared for respondent-management No.1 & 2 on 24.04.2023 and they were proceeded against ex-parte.

This is Digitally Signed Gazette. To verify, visit :
https://egazette.chd.gov.in

11. In evidence of the management No.4, Ravi Kumar - Junior Assistant, O/o CITCO (Commercial Branch), Sector 17, Chandigarh appeared as MW1 and tendered his duly sworn-in affidavit **Exhibit 'MW1/A'** along with authority letter dated 19.08.2025 issued in his favour **Exhibit 'M1'** and copy of contract dated 29.04.2016 Exhibit 'M2'. Thereafter, the Learned Representative for the management closed the evidence on behalf of management i.e. CITCO.

12. I have heard the arguments addressed by Learned Representative for the workman as well as respondent-management No. 4 and gone through the case file carefully with their able assistance. My issue-wise findings are as under :-

Issue No.1

13. The workman is required to prove the said issue.

14. Learned Representative for the workman submitted that the workman remained in continuous service of respondent-management for more than one year and his services were terminated on 26.02.2019 without any notice or payment of due amount. He argued that the respondent-management has violated the provisions of Section 25F of the ID Act. He prayed for allowing of claim of the workman.

15. On the other hand, Learned Representative for the respondent-management No.4 submitted that the respondent-management No.4 had allotted the work of pest control to M/s Truly Pest Solutions Pvt. Ltd. and CITCO was never party to the demand notice. The workman was, however, having the knowledge qua CITCO since it's inception. He stated that no employer-employee relationship ever existed between respondent No.4 and workman. He prayed for dismissal of the claim statement of the workman.

16. However, before coming to any logical conclusion, it is necessary to discuss the evidence led by both the parties.

17. The workman while appearing as AW1 has deposed in consonance with the facts detailed in the claim statement. He deposed in his examination-in-chief that he was appointed by M/s Truly Pest Solution Pvt. Ltd. w.e.f. 01.01.2018 as Pest Management Technician vide appointment letter dated 31.03.2018. He worked to the best of his ability up to 25.02.2019 when his services were terminated without any reason and the termination of his servicesis in violation of Section 25F of the ID Act.

18. The workman has placed on record the original appointment letter (Exhibit 'W1'). The said appointment letter has been issued by M/s Truly Pest Solution Pvt. Ltd. which mentions its Head Quarters at Chandigarh. It further mentions that the workman was appointed as Pest Management Technician and his date of joining is stated as 23.03.2018. The workman himself tendered in evidence the original appointment letter (Exhibit 'W1') wherein his date of joining has been mentioned as 23.03.2018. The respondent No.1 & 2 in their written statement has denied the date of joining of the workman as 01.01.2018. No evidence has been led by the workman to establish that he joined as Pest Management Technician on 01.01.2018 rather the appointment letter placed on record, mentioning his date of joining as 23.03.2018. As such, the workman had joined with the respondent-management on 23.03.2018 and not 01.01.2018 as averred by him.

19. The workman has deposed that he was getting monthly wages of ` 8,500/-. During his cross-examination, he admitted that salary was paid to him by respondent No.1 and the contribution towards provident fund and ESI was also deposited by management No.1. He admitted that he had worked up to 25.02.2019. MW1 Ravi Kumar in his cross-examination also stated that the contract was for theperiod of two years and management No.4 has not maintained any register regarding the employees of the contractor. He further stated during his cross-examination that it was the duty of the contractor to deposit ESI and EPF etc. and management No.4 reimbursed the bill raised by the contractor only after deposit of the statutory liabilities of the

This is Digitally Signed Gazette. To verify, visit :
https://egazette.chd.gov.in

contractor. The payment was made to the contractor either through cheque or through online. He further stated that the contract of CITCO with M/s Truly Pest Solutions Pvt. Ltd. had expired on 16.11.2019.

20. Learned Representative for the workman submitted that the workman had rendered service of more than 240 days in 12 calendar months with the respondent-management w.e.f. 01.01.2018 to 25.02.2019 and his services were terminated without any reason on 26.02.2019. Hefurther argued that at the time of refusal of work on 26.02.2019,the management has not offered him notice pay and compensation which is a pre-condition of Section 25F of the ID Act.

21. As per record, the workman was drawing wages of ` 8,500/- per month as is clear from the Annexure '1' annexed with the appointment letter dated 31.03.2018 (Exhibit 'W1'). The said annexure shows the break up of wages/ salary of the workman. The gross salary is shown as ` 9,690/-and after deduction of contribution towards EPF and ESI, the net salary of the workman was ` 8,500/-. The workman has not produced on record any evidence to the effect that he was refused work by respondent-management on 26.02.2019. Annexure 'A1' does not show the last drawn wages of the workman as the same is part of appointment letter dated 31.03.2018 (Exhibit 'W1'). The workman has not produced on record any bank statement to show that his wages up to 25.02.2019 were credited to his bank account. The workman had every opportunity to summon the record from the office of EPF and ESI in support of the fact that he had remained in service with the management till 25.02.2019. The sole affidavit of the workmanis not sufficient evidence to prove that he remained in service till 25.02.2019.

22. Learned Representative for the workman submitted that respondent No.1 & 2 had not appeared before this Court after filing of written statement. No evidence has been led by respondent No.1 & 2 to substantiate their pleadings. However, it is settled law that the workman has to prove its own case by leading cogent and convincing evidence. In the case in hand, the workman has failed to establish that he had joined with the respondent-management on 01.01.2018 as averred by him. There is no evidence to the effect that the workman had worked continuously with the respondent-management till 25.02.2019. As such, this said issue stands decided against the workman and in favour of the management.

Issue No .2

23. The management No. 1 & 2 while filing written statement has taken the objection of maintainability of the present industrial dispute on the ground of territorial jurisdiction. However, perusal of the appointment letter dated 31.03.2018 (Exhibit 'W1') reveals that the workman was appointed by the management for its organisation in the State of Punjab with Head Quarter at Chandigarh. Further, the workman had rendered his services at Parkview Hotel, Chandigarh and as such, the Court at Chandigarh has the jurisdiction to adjudicate upon the present dispute. Accordingly, the said issue stands decided against management No.1 & 2 and in favour of the workman.

Relief

24. In view of the aforesaid findings on issue No.1, the industrial dispute in hand stands declined. Appropriate Government be informed accordingly. File be consigned to records after due compliance.

(Sd.) . . .,

Dated : The 29th October, 2025

(MANISHA JAIN)
UID No.PB0158
PRESIDING OFFICER,
Industrial Tribunal & Labour Court,
Union Territory Chandigarh.

This is Digitally Signed Gazette. To verify, visit :
https://egazette.chd.gov.in

CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION
LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Notification

The 6th January, 2026

No. 590322-HII(2)-2025/154.—In exercise of the Powers conferred by sub-section (i) of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947) read with Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment's Notification No. S-11025/21/2003-IR(PL) dated 28.7.2004, the undersigned hereby publish the following award bearing reference No. **18/2022 dated 17.11.2025** delivered by the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, UT Chandigarh between :

SALOCHNA GUPTA W/O SH. ASHOK GUPTA, AGED 52 YEARS R/O HOUSE NO. 3 B, NEW GOVIND VIHAR, BALTANA, S.A.S. NAGAR MOHALI. (WORKMAN)

AND

M/S SUNHOME CABLE INDUSTRIES PRIVATE LIMITED, PLOT NO. 137, INDUSTRIAL AREA, PHASE - 2, CHANDIGARH THROUGH ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR / DIRECTOR AND MANAGER. (MANAGEMENT)

AWARD

1. Workman Salochna Gupta has presented the Industrial Dispute in hand under Section 2A(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 *(here-in-after in short called 'ID Act').*

2. The case of the workman in nutshell is that she was engaged by the management as Helper w.e.f. 01.06.2013 and she worked continuously with the management up to 23.03.2020. The Government of India declared lock down on 23.03.2020 and lifted the same in June, 2020. Thereafter, when the workman reported for duty again, the management did not allow her work. On 23.03.2020, the management terminated the services of the workman without giving any prior notice to her. The management had not issued any memo, charge sheet nor conducted any inquiry in any manner before termination. The management had not paid or offered notice pay in lieu of notice or compensation to the workman. Job of the workman still exists and juniors to the workman were retained in service by the management. The management has violated Section 25F, 25G &25H and other provisions of the ID Act. A prayer was made for reinstatement with continuity of service, full back wages and all other applicable consequential benefits.

3. On notice, the management appeared and contested the claim of the workman by filing written statement raising preliminary objections on the ground that the present claim statement is not maintainable and the workman has not approached this Court with clean hands as the workman herself left / abandoned the services of the respondent-management after taking all her dues. It is submitted that the workman joined the management on 06.01.2020 as Helper and worked up to 21.03.2020. Thereafter, the operations of the respondent-management remained suspended till 20.05.2020 due to COVID-19 pandemic. However, the workman did not join the services of the respondent-management. The workman served the demand notice dated 28.05.2020 under Section 2A of the ID Act upon the management on false & flimsy grounds. However, the said demand

This is Digitally Signed Gazette. To verify, visit :
https://egazette.chd.gov.in

notice dated 28.05.2020 was disposed off as settled by the Assistant Labour Commissioner-cum-Conciliation Officer, U.T. Chandigarh on 25.08.2020. In view of the settlement dated 25.08.2020, the workman again joined the services of the management on 27.08.2020 as the Bunching Machine Operator. The workman worked up to 12.03.2021 and thereafter remained absent from duty without any intimation and again abandoned the services of the management. The management issued a letter dated 31.03.2021 to the workman informing her to join the duty but no response was received from the workman. Other averments of the claim of the workman were denied and a prayer was made that the claim of the workman may be dismissed.

4. The workman filed rejoinder to the written statement submitting that the workman was earlier terminated on 23.03.2020 by the management consequent upon which she served the demand notice dated 28.05.2020 upon the management. With the active assistance of the Assistant Labour Commissioner-cum-Conciliation Officer, U.T. Chandigarh a settlement dated 25.08.2020 was arrived at between the workman and the management but as per settlement, the management did not reinstate the workman with continuity of service. The management in revenge started torturing her and adopted unfair labour practices and again illegally terminated her services on 12.03.2021.

5. From the pleadings of the parties, following issues were framed vide order dated 12.12.2022 :-

1. Whether the termination of the workman is illegal ?OPW

2. If issue No.1 is proved in affirmative, whether the workman is entitled to reinstatement with continuity of service, full back wages and all other consequential benefits ?OPW

3. Whether the workman has not approached the Court with clean hands ?OPM

4. Whether the statement of claim is not maintainable ?OPM

5. Relief.

6. Thereafter, both the parties were called upon to lead their respective evidence.

7. In evidence of the workman, the workman examined Gurmeet Singh - Assistant, O/o ESIC, Sector 29, Chandigarh, who brought the summoned record and tendered the attested copies of documents :-

Form 01 relating to M/s Sunhome Cable Industries, Plot No.137, Industrial Area Phase-II, Chandigarh	**Exhibit 'AW1/1'**
Declaration Form of the workman	**Exhibit 'AW1/2'**
Return of contributions under Employees' State Insurance Corporation incorporating details of contribution for the period from April, 2013 to September, 2013; October, 2013 to March, 2014; April, 2014 to September, 2014; October, 2014 to	**Exhibit 'AW1/3'** To **Exhibit 'AW1/18'**

This is Digitally Signed Gazette. To verify, visit : https://egazette.chd.gov.in

March, 2015; April, 2015 to September, 2015; October, 2015 to March, 2016; April, 2016 to September, 2016; October, 2016 to March, 2017; April, 2017 to September, 2017; October, 2017 to March, 2018; April, 2018 to September, 2018; October, 2018 to March, 2019; April, 2019 to September, 2019; October, 2019 to March, 2020; April, 2020 to September, 2020 and October, 2020 to March, 2021 bearing the name of establishment M/s Sunhome Cable Industries, Plot No.137, Industrial Area Phase-II, Chandigarh	
Insurance Policy No. 1713724416 (date of registration 16.11.2020) relating to insured person Salochna Gupta issued by Employees' State Insurance Corporation	**Exhibit 'AW1/19'**

8. The workman also stepped into the witness box and tendered her sworn-in affidavit 'AW1/A'. She also tendered into evidence copies of documents :-

Consumer price index for the half year ending march 2019	**Exhibit 'W1'**
Consumer price index for the half year ending march 2022	**Exhibit 'W2'**
DDR No. 3 dated 01.02.2020 Police Station, Sector 31-Chandigarh lodged by the workman	**Exhibit 'W3'**
Office copy of demand notice dated 28.05.2020 raised by the workman against M/s Sunhome Cable Industries Pvt. Ltd.	**Exhibit 'W4'**
Original postal receipt dated 01.06.2020	**Exhibit 'W4/1'**
Memorandum of Settlement dated 25.08.2020 under Section 12(3) of Industrial Dispute Act between the workman and M/s Sunhome Cable Industries Pvt. Ltd. incorporating short recital and terms of settlement in connection with the demand notice, singed in the presence of Assistant Labour Commissioner-Cum-Conciliation Officer, U.T Chandigarh.	**Exhibit 'W4/2'**
E-Pehchan Card issued by ESIC	**Exhibit 'W5'**
Another demand notice dated 01.04.2021 raised by the workman against M/s Sunhome Cable Industries Pvt. Ltd.	**Exhibit 'W6'**
Original postal receipt dated 05.04.2021	**Exhibit 'W6/1'**

This is Digitally Signed Gazette. To verify, visit : https://egazette.chd.gov.in

Thereafter, Learned Representative for the workman closed the evidence.

9. In the evidence of management, the management examined Jagdish Kumar - Manager as MW1 and tendered into evidence his affidavit Exhibit 'MW1/A' along with documents :-

Authority letter dated 04.02.2025 issued in favour of Jagdish Kumar by Partner of M/s Sunhome Cable Industries	**Exhibit 'M1'**
Copy of settlement deed dated 25.08.2020	**Exhibit 'M2'**
Copy of letter dated 31.03.2021 issued to the workman by Partner of M/s Sunhome Cable Industrial	**Exhibit 'M3'**

10. During the pendency of the present industrial dispute, the workman made the statement, which runs as under :-

> *"Stated that I have settled my dispute with the management and have received demand draft bearing No.004974 dated 14.11.2025 of HFDC Bank for Rs.60,000/- in my favour towards full & final settlement of my claim against the management. Now nothing is due towards the management whatsoever including the right of reinstatement and gratuity. My present industrial dispute may be disposed off accordingly."*

11. In view of the above statement, the present industrial dispute stands disposed off being settled by way of compromise. Appropriate Government be informed accordingly. File be consigned to records after due compliance.

(Sd.) . . .,

Dated : The 17th November, 2025

(MANISHA JAIN)
UID No.PB0158
PRESIDING OFFICER,
Industrial Tribunal & Labour Court,
Union Territory Chandigarh.

Secretary Labour,
Chandigarh Administration.

This is Digitally Signed Gazette. To verify, visit :
https://egazette.chd.gov.in

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Notification

The 8th January, 2026

No. 01.—Sh. Manoj Kashyap, Deputy Registrar, Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh has retired from services of this Court w.e.f. 31.12.2025 (A.N.) on attaining the age of superannuation i.e. 58 years.

(Sd.) . . .,

(ASHISH KUMAR BANSAL)
Registrar (Administration)
for Registrar General

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Notification

The 8th January, 2026

No. 02.—Ms. Anju Sharma, Assistant Registrar, Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh has retired from services of this Court w.e.f. 31.12.2025 (A.N.) on attaining the age of superannuation i.e. 58 years.

(Sd.) . . .,

(ASHISH KUMAR BANSAL)
Registrar (Administration)
for Registrar General

This is Digitally Signed Gazette. To verify, visit :
https://egazette.chd.gov.in

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Notification

The 8th January, 2026

No. 03.—Sh. Ravi Kant, Superintendent Grade-I of the Punjab and Haryana High Court at Chandigarh has retired from the service of this Court w.e.f. 31.12.2025 (A.N.) on attaining the age of superannuation i.e. 58 years.

(Sd.) . . .,

(ASHISH KUMAR BANSAL)
Registrar (Administration)
for Registrar General

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Notification

The 8th January, 2026

No. 04.—Sh. Rajesh Kumar Sharma, Superintendent Grade-I of the Punjab and Haryana High Court at Chandigarh has retired from the service of this Court w.e.f. 31.12.2025 (A.N.) on attaining the age of superannuation i.e. 58 years.

(Sd.) . . .,

(ASHISH KUMAR BANSAL)
Registrar (Administration)
for Registrar General

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Notification

The 8th January, 2026

No. 05/E.I./V.B (3E).—Sh. Dalbir Singh Tiwana, Special Secretary of Punjab and Haryana High Court at Chandigarh has retired from service of this Court w.e.f. 31.12.2025 (A.N.) on attaining the age of superannuation i.e. 58 years.

(Sd.) . . .,

(ASHISH KUMAR BANSAL)
Registrar (Administration)
for Registrar General

This is Digitally Signed Gazette. To verify, visit :
https://egazette.chd.gov.in

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Notification

The 29th December, 2025

No. 261 /V.A.4.—Hon'ble the Chief Justice has been pleased to make the following appointment by way of selection/promotion to the post of Reader on the establishment of this Hon'ble Court in Level 16 in the Pay Matrix (initial pay ` 48,700/-) of Punjab Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 2021, on the establishment of this Hon'ble Court :-

Sr. No.	Name	From	To	Remarks
1	Sh. Shabeer Sehgal S/o late Sh. Ravi Kumar Sehgal	Reader Grade-III/ Sessions Division Ludhiana	Offg. Reader	Against vacant post

Note :- 1) The appointed/promoted candidate shall furnish his joining report as Officiating Readers to the Establishment-I Branch immediately.

2) The above appointment of the Officer is on probation in terms of Rule 23(1) of High Court Establishment (Appointment & Conditions of Service) Rules, 1973.

BY ORDER OF HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

(Sd.) . . .,

(AASHISH SALDI)
OSD (Gazette-II)
for Registrar General

"No legal responsibility is accepted for the contents of publication of advertisements/public notices in this part of the Chandigarh Administration Gazette. Persons notifying the advertisements/public notices will remain solely responsible for the legal consequences and also for any other misrepresentation etc."

004—Ptg. & Sty. Deptt., U.T., Chd.

This is Digitally Signed Gazette. To verify, visit : https://egazette.chd.gov.in